Sweden's tax mistake: how higher corporate taxes threaten Sweden's economic growth.

Filip Flink

Department of Business: Ferris State University

Mises institute of economics

Mises Economics

February 1, 2025

Abstract

Sweden's continuously increasing corporate tax rate threatens economic freedom, discourages entrepreneurship and innovation which undermines the country's global competitiveness. Rooted in the Austrian economics perspective, this paper addresses the problematic behavior of higher corporate taxes. This paper argues that higher corporate taxes distort market efficiency by shifting resources away from fruitful corporations and into government control. As businesses face heavier financial burdens, innovation declines, job creation slows, and economic growth stifles. Furthermore, the unintended consequences of such policies, including higher cost of entry into the market, and increased foreign investments, exacerbate long-term economic stagnation. Rather than increasing corporate taxation, and lowering funding for innovation, a more effective approach would involve reducing government intervention to foster free market evolution that promotes substantial prosperity and economic growth. This paper explores the foundational issue of inflated corporate taxation, evaluates Sweden's current policies, and presents strategies that prioritize economic freedom and entrepreneurship.

Keywords: Economics, Austrian economics, corporate taxation, Sweden

Sweden's tax mistake: how higher corporate taxes threaten Sweden's economic.

Sweden has long been recognized for its growing economy, high standards of living, thriving business climate and strategic innovations. However, recent increases in corporate taxes, combined with the strict regulations, have sparked debates about their long-term economic implications. Increasing number of companies recently moving operations outside the country has resulted in lowered global competitiveness in global export and innovation.While policymakers argue that high corporate taxation ensures fair revenue distribution and supports public service, critics contend that such policies weaken economic freedom and stifle business activity. Yet policies that support innovation and subsidize market entry are far away from reaching markets.

From an Austrian economic perspective, taxation-especially on businesses is a distortion in free markets, generating inefficiencies that hinder growth. By reducing the tax income of corporations, the availability to invest earnings, expand, and research becomes widely accessible. Actions supporting substantial tax hikes discourage investment, entrepreneurship, and expansion. If left unchecked, these policies could lead to lower productivity, fewer employment opportunities, and economic stagnation.

Therefore, the critical effects of sustaining high corporate taxes might leave the country stranded, without the opportunity to reverse policy laws that would nourish innovation and businesses to develop.

I. The Austrian economic perspective on corporate taxation

A) Taxation as a market distortion

Austrian economic theory, advanced by thinkers such as Ludwig von Mises and Friedrich Hayek, argues that government intervention, especially in the form of taxation disrupts the natural equilibrium of markets. Taxes distort price signals and alter incentives for businesses and consumers alike. In the case of corporate taxation, the government decreases firms' ability to reinvest profits, therefore limiting economic expansion and innovation.

Market efficiency thrives when a business operates with minimal external constraints, adjusting to market dynamics, and consumer demands with optimal competition. However, when governments apply external higher taxes, corporations are forced to make cost-cutting decisions that may include reducing the number of employees, slowing research and development (R&D), or shifting manufacturing to lower-cost countries. Thesis changes abruptly disrupt the organic flow of capital and labor within the economy.

B) Taxation Kills business growth and innovation.

Innovation does not emerge from government decree, it comes from private entities willing to take risks, invest capital, and compete in the marketplace. When Sweden raises corporate taxes, it directly threatens these incentives, reducing the willingness of firms to develop new technologies, create jobs, and expand into new markets.

Countries with lower corporate taxes, such as Ireland and Germany, have demonstrated that minimizing government intervention allows businesses to thrive. Ireland's 12.5% corporate tax rate has aided its transformation into a hub for global investments (Statista, 2025). While

Switzerland's business-friendly tax policies have made it an economic powerhouse. Switzerland's business growth is estimated to continue growing at 12.24% as well as Ireland's 7.27% (Statista, 2025). Sweden's tax hikes, in contrast, send a clear message: Success will be punished, and entrepreneurship will be discouraged.

II. The devastating consequences of Sweden's corporate tax hikes

A) Capital Flight: Sweden is driving its business away.

The fundamental flaw of high tax policies is the assumption that businesses will simply accept them. In reality, multinational corporations and wealthy entrepreneurs as well as investors can and will relocate their capital to countries with more favorable tax environments.

Sweden's high corporate tax rates are making it a less attractive destination for investments. Countries with lower corporate tax burdens, such as the United States, and Ireland, have become increasingly appealing to businesses seeking financial stability and growth opportunities. Compared to other growth nations, Sweden is reaching record highs in companies migrating. Sweden reached 120 company exits during 2017 compared to their peers like the UKS 77 and France 44 (O'Brien, 2018). If Sweden continues to increase corporate taxes, it will face a mass exodus of capital, with companies choosing to relocate their operations.

B) Destroying Sweden's competitive edge in innovation

Sweden has historically been an international leader in technology, engineering, and pharmaceuticals, with companies like SKF, Volvo, Ericsson, and Spotify to name a few, but this competitive edge is now at risk. As covered previously high taxes hinder businesses from investing in (R&D) which has been and continues to be a crucial part of Sweden's Economic and technological growth. The continuation of high taxes will prevent the country from further advancement that can create groundbreaking advancements.

As countries like the United States continue to implement their low corporate taxes, the risk of creating a gap grows increasingly substantial. Therefore, if Sweden wants to maintain its leadership in technology and entrepreneurship, it cannot afford to place additional financial burdens on its most productive industries.

III. A radical pro-market alternative: Unleashing economic freedom.

A) Slash corporate taxes to attract investment.

Sweden must adopt a radically pro-market tax policy if it hopes to remain competitive. Instead of raising corporate taxes, Sweden should drastically cut them, potentially lowering rates to 15% or below. This would also include lowering the VAT Tax, which currently is an additive tax on top of sales with 25% on basically all goods and services sold. Doing so would create a business-friendly environment that attracts multinational corporations while keeping the tax revenue stable.

B) Deregulation and elimination of government waste

Corporate tax hikes are often justified by the need for public funding, but the real issue lies with government benefits. Sweden should double down on cutting unnecessary public expenditure and reducing regulatory burdens that stifle economic growth. As Sweden spent about "22 Md SEK" (USD 1,982,553,529) in 2024 solely on faulty invoices, the incentive for economic and technology growth must be heavily incentivized (Matsdotter, 2024). In addition, Sweden should focus on a streamlined tax policy that incentivizes minimal government waste and thereby maximizes the economic growth potential. The problematic nature of the solution is how to incentivize government officials to eliminate governmental waste spending.

C) Encourage foreign direct investments (FDI)

Sweden's policymakers should prioritize policies that attract foreign investments rather than repel it. Countries with competitive tax structures have successfully drawn FDI, leading to job creation and economic expansion. Sweden can follow suit by establishing a prosperous business environment that makes an attractive hub for global commerce.

IV. Conclusion: Will Sweden choose freedom or stagnation?

The Swedish corporate tax hike presents an external threat to its economic future. These policies, driven by my mismanaged government ideology, punish productivity, drives capital away, and stifles innovation. The choice is clear: Sweden cannot continue down this path of economic decay. Their opportunity to prioritize investment, economic freedom, and entrepreneurship should be at the top priority of policymakers' agenda.

If Sweden wants to remain competitive in the global economy, it must reject the idea that higher corporate taxes benefit society. Sweden's policymakers must decide—will they cling to inefficient tax policies, or will they embrace the principles of economic liberty?

Only time will tell. But Sweden needs real reform, and it needs to be implemented within soon.

Works Cited

Matsdotter, K. (2024, November 27). *affarsvarlden*. Retrieved from Slöseriombudsmannen listar: Årets värsta slöserier med skattepengar: https://www.affarsvarlden.se/artikel/sloseriombudsmannen-listar-arets-varsta-sloserier-av-skattepengar

O'Brien, C. (2018, September 7). *venturebeat*. Retrieved from Beyond Spotify and iZettle: How Sweden became Europe's capital of startup exits: https://venturebeat.com/entrepreneur/beyond-spotify-and-izettle-how-sweden-became-europes-capital-of-startup-exits/

Statista. (2025). *Statista*. Retrieved from Business - Switzerland : https://www.statista.com/outlook/amo/app/business/switzerland

Statista. (2025). *Statista*. Retrieved from business-ireland: https://www.statista.com/outlook/amo/app/business/ireland